

The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

<u>THE THOMPSONS FILM, LLC,</u>	)	
	)	Case No.: Case No.: 2:13-CV-560-RSL
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	PLAINTIFF’S RESPONSE TO MOTION
v.	)	TO QUASH (Dkt. # 35)
	)	
DOES 1 – 194,	)	NOT NOTED ON MOTION CALENDAR
	)	BY MOVING PARTY
Defendants.	)	
<u>  </u>	)	

Plaintiff The Thompsons Film, LLC, by and through counsel of record, Maureen C. VanderMay and The VanderMay Law Firm, hereby responds to the document filed by Wayne Fastrup and Shirley Fastrup (Dkt. # 35) which requests that the subpoena issued to Internet Service Provider Comcast be quashed.

The Court addressed whether the subpoenas issued to various Internet Service Providers in this action should be quashed in its Order Lifting Stay and Extending Service Deadline filed on August 7, 2013 (Dkt. # 33) and declined to do so. Mr. and Mrs. Fastrup’s filing raises no new arguments in support of their request that the subpoena be quashed. Indeed, the statements they

PLAINTIFF’S RESPONSE TO MOTION – Page 1  
TO QUASH (Dkt. # 35) (2:13-CV-560-RSL)

The VanderMay Law Firm PC  
2021 S. Jones Blvd  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
(702) 538-9300

The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

<u>THE THOMPSONS FILM, LLC,</u>	)	Case No.: Case No.: 2:13-CV-560-RSL
Plaintiff,	)	PLAINTIFF’S RESPONSE TO MOTION
v.	)	TO QUASH (Dkt. # 35)
DOES 1 – 194,	)	NOT NOTED ON MOTION CALENDAR
Defendants.	)	BY MOVING PARTY
	)	

Plaintiff The Thompsons Film, LLC, by and through counsel of record, Maureen C. VanderMay and The VanderMay Law Firm, hereby responds to the document filed by Wayne Fastrup and Shirley Fastrup (Dkt. # 35) which requests that the subpoena issued to Internet Service Provider Comcast be quashed.

The Court addressed whether the subpoenas issued to various Internet Service Providers in this action should be quashed in its Order Lifting Stay and Extending Service Deadline filed on August 7, 2013 (Dkt. # 33) and declined to do so. Mr. and Mrs. Fastrup’s filing raises no new arguments in support of their request that the subpoena be quashed. Indeed, the statements they

PLAINTIFF’S RESPONSE TO MOTION – Page 1  
TO QUASH (Dkt. # 35) (2:13-CV-560-RSL)

The VanderMay Law Firm PC  
2021 S. Jones Blvd  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
(702) 538-9300

The VanderMay Law Firm PC  
2021 S. Jones Blvd  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
(702) 538-9300

1 make have no bearing upon this issue. Therefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this request  
2 be denied.

3 DATED: September 30, 2013  
4

5 Respectfully submitted,

6 The VanderMay Law Firm PC

7 /s/ Maureen C. VanderMay

8 Maureen C. VanderMay, WSBA No. 16742

9 email: thethompsonswa@vandermaylawfirm.com

10 The VanderMay Law Firm PC

11 2021 S. Jones Blvd.

12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

13 (702) 538-9300

14 Of Attorneys for Plaintiff  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25